The urgent need to recover MHC class I in cancers for effective immunotherapy
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Immune escape strategies aimed to avoid T-cell recognition, including the loss of tumor MHC class I expression, are commonly found in malignant cells. Tumor immune escape has proven to have a negative effect on the clinical outcome of cancer immunotherapy, including treatment with antibodies blocking immune checkpoint molecules. Hence, there is an urgent need to develop novel approaches to overcome tumor immune evasion. MHC class I antigen presentation is often affected in human cancers and the capacity to induce upregulation of MHC class I cell surface expression is a critical step in the induction of tumor rejection. This review focuses on characterization of rejection, escape, and dormant profiles of tumors and its microenvironment with a special emphasis on the tumor MHC class I expression. We also discuss possible approaches to recover MHC class I expression on tumor cells harboring reversible/‘soft’ or irreversible/‘hard’ genetic lesions. Such MHC class I recovery approaches might well synergize with complementary forms of immunotherapy.
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Introduction
Cancer immunotherapy in humans has historically used a variety of products that boost T lymphocyte responses, such as IL-2 and IFN-α in melanoma and renal cell carcinoma and bacterial products as BCG in bladder cancer therapy [1–3]. More recently, antigenic tumor peptides or dendritic cells loaded with shared peptides have been introduced to the clinic [4,5]. These therapies created great expectations among clinical oncologist because they could activate specific anti-tumor T-cell immunity. However, the observed tumor regressions were below expectations [6]. The absence or downregulation of tumor MHC class I (MHC-I) molecules could be one of possible explanations for these disappointing results, since MHC-I expression on cancer cells is required for detection and destruction by T-cells [7,8]. MHC-I loss or downregulation is a major tumor escape mechanism from T lymphocytes described in human tumors of different origin [9–12]. The HLA evaluation in human tumor tissues needs a complex approach since HLA class I (HLA-I) heavy chains are highly polymorphic and requires analysis of the expression of six HLA-I alleles on tumor cell surface which differ among cancer patients [13]. It is obvious that the information about tumor HLA expression mostly comes from the analysis of progressing tumors, which have already developed escape strategies. In contrast, the tumor rejection profile is difficult to study since such regressing lesions either disappear in a short period of time or progress while acquiring the immunoeedited escape phenotype [14]. There are also evidences that some tumor cells can survive in the host in a ‘dormant state’ for long periods of time without being detected. These dormant tumor cells ‘awake’ in immune-compro-mised environments, especially when CD4+ and CD8+ lymphocytes are not present or their numbers are heavily reduced [15**,16].

The intimate interaction of MHC class I expression by tumors and the T-cell immune pressure
One of the major problems facing any type of cancer treatment is the extensive heterogeneity of primary tumors, which arises as a result of genetic and epigenetic alterations at a clonal level [17**,**18]. In a mouse model of 3-methyl-cholanthrene-induced fibrosarcoma we observed that primary tumor clone diversity is characterized by different expression patterns of MHC-I genes and molecules [19]. This explosion of diversity can be described as a ‘big bang’ because of the large variety of different tumor cells with different genotypes and phenotypes, and because it can be detected few weeks after the injection of the chemical carcinogen. Genetic alterations in any particular marker creating this heterogeneity is probably a random
process, but the interaction with the host immune system determines the capacity of a given tumor cell clone to survive and disseminate. Therefore, a process of ‘selection’, especially due to T-cell immune pressure on MHC-I deficient tumor variants, might represent a natural process.

We and other groups have evidence that this strong selection process mediated by the interaction of MHC-I and CD8+ T-cells in primary tumors is taking place during the early stages of tumor development leading to either tumor rejection or immune escape via immunoediting [19,20]. Tumors are predominantly MHC-I positive at early stages. The specific antitumor CD8+ T-cells attack progressively killing MHC-I positive cells and selecting MHC negative ones (Figure 1). The MHC-I heterogeneity can be observed in many tumors at these early stages. Finally, the

Figure 1

**MHC-I Intra-Tumor Heterogeneity and Patterns of T-Cell Immunoselection**

(a) **Tumor Rejection Phenotype**

(b) **Tumor Escape Phenotype**

(c) **Dormant Tumor Phenotype**

Schematic representation of the evolution of different tumor phenotypes during cancer progression. (a) Tumor rejection phenotype — tumor cells expressing MHC class I molecules (green) surrounded by CD3+, CD4+ and CD8+ tumor infiltrating lymphocytes (TILs) inside the tumor. The tumor is rejected and is there is no clinical evidence of the tumor in the majority of cases. (b) Tumor escape phenotype — MHC class I negative tumor cell variants appear. Tumor is heterogeneous containing cells both positive and negative for MHC class I expression. There are infiltrating CD4+ and CD8+ T-lymphocytes at early stages. MHC class I negative cells (red) escape from anti-tumor CD8+ T-cells, and tumor is now composed of only MHC class I negative cells (red). Tumor-specific T-cells remains now at the peritumoral area (stroma) and do not infiltrate tumor mass. (c) Tumor dormant phenotype — MHC class I negative cells within the primary tumor develop unnoticed micrometastases, which maintain an immunological equilibrium. They can survive in a dormant stage for long periods of time. Depletion of CD8, CD4 and asialo GM1 positive cells awakes these cells to progression producing detectable macrometastasis. Interestingly, awaken dormant cells are now positive for MHC class I.
T cell immunoediting leaves tumors homogeneously deficient or completely negative for MHC-I expression [20,21]. A clinical example of T-cell mediated immunoselection of MHC-I negative tumor cells came from the study of melanomas lesions derived from a single patient during the course of cancer progression. A point mutation present in the codon 67 of the beta 2-microglobulin gene in HLA-I negative melanoma cells from the heterogeneous primary tumor was found 10 months later in an uniformly HLA-I-negative metastatic lesion, strongly suggesting an active T-cell immunoselection of MHC-I negative melanoma cells [21].

In a mouse cancer model, a very clear example of T cell mediated immunoselection came from the assessment of H-2 expression in metastatic lung colonies obtained from an H-2 negative tumor cell clone growing in immunocompetent and in immunodeficient mice [22,23]. Lung metastatic colonies growing in immunocompetent mice lacking T-cells were H-2 positive. The mechanism responsible for the H-2 down-regulation in this tumor clone was reversible (‘soft’) since the expression of H-2 antigens could be recovered by IFN-γ [22,23]. We also observed that MHC-I positive tumor clones are highly immunogenic, while MHC-I negative variants have low immunogenicity. Nevertheless, when the number of locally injected tumor cells from MHC-I positive clone is considerably large, they generate local tumors and develop a high number of distant metastases, because are able to overcome T-cell responses and induce immunosuppression [22]. Importantly, MHC-I positive lung metastases in this model could be completely eradicated by immunotherapy [24]. In contrast, MHC-I negative tumor clones have none or low metastatic capacity. They generate dormant micrometastases with increased MHC-I expression, which are capable of inducing T-cell immune response (Figure 1) [15**].

**Tumor rejection profile**

There are only few reports describing the MHC-I expression patterns in tumors undergoing rejection in humans. This rejection can sometimes be induced using different protocols of immunotherapy, including treatment with IL-2, BCG, IFN-α, autologous tumor vaccine, peptide vaccination or transfer of autologous anti-tumor CD8+ T-cells, and is associated with the expression of high levels of HLA-I molecules in tumor cells [20]. It is difficult to obtain regressing malignant lesions, since there are no clinical indications for surgical removal or biopsy. In this situation, we rely only on the evaluation of systemic CD8+ T-cell responses and intratumoral infiltration [25]. Nevertheless, we had an opportunity to carefully analyze regressing and progressing subcutaneous melanoma lesions in two ‘mixed responder’ patients after autologous vaccination [14,20]. We observed a massive intratumoral infiltration of CD4+ and CD8+ lymphocytes (TILs) within the regressing melanoma lesions with a positive correlation of high MHC-I levels. In contrast, the lack of tumor HLA-I expression in progressing lesions correlated with absence of TILs with mostly peritumoral infiltration patterns [14,20]. These two opposite patterns in distinct lesions of the same patient reflect different phenotypes of tumor microenvironment, namely tumor rejection and tumor escape (Figure 1). Tumor regression was also associated with increased transcriptional upregulation of HLA and interferon stimulation pathway genes pointing to an enhanced antigen presentation capability of tumor cells [14]. This histological and molecular signature of tumor rejection mediated by CD8+ T-cells seems to be similar to that found in allograft rejection and graft versus host disease suggesting an existence of an immunological circuitry of rejection [26].

High degree of tumor infiltration with T-cells is considered to be a good prognostic factor [27] and has been included into a new tumor immunological grading system called ‘immunoscore’ [28]. We have previously observed in various types of cancers that the HLA-I negative tumors lack TILs. In contrast, HLA-I positive tumors are characterized by high degree of intratumoral infiltration with CD8+ T cells [14,20]. The status of intratumoral infiltration, perhaps, reflects the stage of cancer immune escape during natural cancer progression. At early stages there are more HLA-positive tumor cells and many TILs, while at more advanced stages tumor contains more HLA-negative escape variants and T-cells are restrained in the peritumoral area (Figure 1).

Tumor HLA-I expression patterns have been discussed at the 12th International Histocompatibility Workshop (Paris, France, June 9–12, 1996). Tumors sections were classified as HLA-I negative (<25% tumor cells stained), heterogeneous (between 25% and 75% tumor cells labeled) and positive (>75% tumor cells stained) [13,29]. It would be interesting to determine whether the lack of HLA-I is the cause of poor T-cell recruitment into the tumor or, the other way around, the local infiltrating T cells mediate high HLA-I expression by producing IFN-γ. A recent report indicated that the latter option could be valid, at least in sarcomas [30]. In this context, the broadened melanoma-reactive CD8+ T cell responses reported after anti-CTLA-4 therapy in melanoma [31*,32,33**] could be associated with the upregulation of MHC expression and could lead to the presentation of a variety of previously hidden tumor-specific peptides, which subsequently activate a pre-existing T-cell pool. Similar events were previously reported in clinical trials using peptide-based immunotherapy and were defined as ‘epitope spreading’ [25,34].

**Tumor escape profile**

It is well established that tumor immune escape is associated with MHC-I downregulation, as seen in different
human and experimental tumors and reviewed in many previous reports [8,10,12,35]. Tumors with this profile can be derived from established progressed tumors after they had escaped T-cell mediated immunosurveillance [11]. A tumor derived from an HLA-I positive epithelium can lose totally or partially the expression of class I molecules [9]. The total percentage of various types of HLA-I loss, including total loss, haplotype loss, or allelic loss, ranges from 65 to 90%, depending on the type of cancer [9,35,36].

Another evidence of tumor escape and the resistance to T cell immunity caused by MHC-I down-regulation has been corroborated by a cancer in a small mammal, the Tasmanian Devil. A facial tumor in the Tasmanian Devil silenced the genes for antigen presentation at the epigenetic level and thereby created an infectious cancer that is transmissible to histo-incompatible companions [37,38,39]. This curious case of a transmissible tumor clearly emphasizes the relevance of MHC loss for immune escape of tumors. The clear impression in the field is that most MHC-I defects in human cancers belong to the category of ‘soft’-wired lesions [40]. Consequently, this type of immuno-editing can be counteracted by clever therapeutic targeting, such as activation of the interferon signaling pathway in cancers or intervention with HDAC inhibitors [44].

**Tumor dormancy profile**

Clinical and experimental evidence indicate that the immune system can maintain cancer cells in a dormant state [15]. Metastatic tumor cells can remain in a state of equilibrium with the immune system for long periods of time during which metastatic colonies do not progress and the cellular immune response does not reject the tumor. It resembles the symbiotic co-existence we see in different species when each partner benefits from another in a particular ‘status quo’ of no aggression. Despite clinical reports suggesting that immunosuppression is associated in humans with clinical appearance of metastatic colonies [45], the profile of the dormant microenvironment is not precisely known. We have developed a mouse tumor model (GR9) in which several metastatic tumor nodules were kept in a permanent state of immune-mediated dormancy in an immunocompetent host [15]. Interestingly, when the mice were depleted of CD8-T lymphocytes the colonies started to grow resulting in overt metastases. Moreover, the same tumor clone produced overt pulmonary metastases in nude mice. Tumor cells capable of generating these dormant metastatic colonies are very exceptional; they were completely negative for MHC-I, but the dormant micrometastases recovered MHC-I surface expression (Figure 1) [15]. These results suggested that MHC-I surface expression and CD8+ T lymphocytes play an important role in immune-mediated dormancy [46] (Figure 1).

Antitumor strategies could be directed to harness the immune response to maintain cancer cells in a permanent dormant state or to favor a complete tumor rejection. In the GR9 mouse tumor model, immunotherapy turned a highly metastatic tumor clone into dormant micrometastases ([24] and Garcia Lora et al., unpublished observations). Upregulating MHC-I expression on tumor cells by cytokines, by increasing FHT gene expression [47], by blockade of the immune-checkpoint inhibitors, by suppression of T regulatory cells or myeloid suppressor cells could lead to activation of anti-tumor T lymphocyte responses [44]. An attractive strategy for the restoration of MHC-I expression is by epigenetic modifiers, like inhibitors of DNA methyltransferase (DNMT) or histone deacetylase (HDAC). In several recent papers, such regulation at the epigenetic level was shown to be able to synergize with immunotherapy for the eradication of mouse tumor models [48,49,50]. Interestingly, IFN-γ-induced restoration of ‘soft’ lesions of MHC-I, one of the most powerful inducers of this gene, was shown to partly mediate its effect by inducing demethylation of antigen-processing machinery related genes, including the TAP genes and LMP-2 [51].

**How to deal with ‘hard’ lesions? Gene therapy and alternative lymphocytes**

Targeting the tumor escape phenotype is one of the major tasks of the present and future cancer therapies [44]. In the examples referred to above, the molecular mechanism responsible for the HLA-I downregulation is reversible or ‘soft’ [40,52]. In contrast, when the genes of HLA or beta 2-microglobulin are corrupted due to mutations or deletions resulting in loss of heterozygosity (LOH) at chromosomes 6 or 15, the HLA-I loss is irreversible due to these ‘hard’ lesions [53–55]. In this case, tumor cells cannot recover the antigen presentation capacity and the tumor microenvironment retains tumor escape phenotype favoring cancer progression. ‘Hard’ HLA-I aberrations in tumors (LOH in chromosomes 6 or 15 and beta 2-microglobulin mutations) are in the range of 30–40% of human cancers [55,56]. In order to restore HLA-I expression in human tumors with ‘hard’ lesions we have made a recombinant adenovirus carrying beta 2-microglobulin gene and demonstrated a recovery of HLA-I expression on tumor cells deficient in beta 2-microglobulin. This HLA reconstitution also recovered tumor cell destruction by peptide specific CD8+ T-cells in HLA-restricted manner [57–59].

Natural killer (NK) cells provide a natural barrier against MHC-I negative tumors and are, therefore, interesting immune effectors to exploit in the treatment of immune-escaped tumors with ‘hard’ lesions. However, there is no clear evidence suggesting that NK cells selectively infiltrate MHC-I negative tumor tissues. Tumor-infiltrating NK cells might harbor an anergic phenotype in MHC-I low tumors, in contrast to MHC-I-positive tumors [60].
This anergic state was reversed with IL-12/IL-18 treatment and was even further enhanced by an improved form of IL-2, leading to NK-dependent tumor control. Another group showed that transfer of in vitro pre-activated NK cells, in combination with body irradiation, was effective in eradication of tumors with ‘hard’ genetic lesion in the MHC-I pathway [61]. Interestingly, addition of HDAC inhibitors can increase the susceptibility of cancer cells for NK cells by upregulation of the NKG2D-activating ligand MICA [62,63]. In addition to upregulation of its ligands, the NKG2D receptor was also upregulated, which led to a further enhanced cytotoxicity of tumor cells [62]. However, caution is needed, as tumor cells treated with HDAC inhibitors might reduce their levels of other activating ligands, as shown for B7-H6, which stimulates NK cells via the NKP30 receptor [64].

Some years ago, our group identified a novel group of CD8+ T cells which specifically recognize cells with low MHC-I expression due to a defect in the peptide transporter TAP [65**,66]. These T cells recognize an alternative peptide repertoire on immunosuppressed tumor cells. We named these peptides TEIPP, for ‘T cell epitopes associated with impaired peptide processing’ and they emerge in the residual MHC-I molecules as a result of alternative antigen processing pathways [67,68]. We showed that the prototypic TEIPP epitope, derived from the housekeeping protein Thr4, is processed by signal peptide peptidase and is, therefore, processed independently of TAP or the proteasome [69]. In a novel TCR transgenic mouse model based on the Thr4-specific CD8+ T cell clone, we observed an efficient thymic selection of these T cells, indicating that the TEIPP T cell repertoire is not affected by central tolerance [70**]. In addition, the TEIPP T cells were effective in tumor control of the TAP-deficient RMA-S tumor. We anticipate that this CD8+ T cell subset can be exploited for treatment of immune-escaped tumors.

**Conclusions**

We have defined the following three major tumor phenotypes relevant for tumor-host interactions and anti-tumor immunity: rejection, escape and dormancy. We highlighted the key role of tumor MHC expression that influences the degree and composition the immune cellular infiltration. This type of cellular immune response markedly determines the prognosis and clinical outcome in different types of malignancies. There is accumulating evidence suggesting that the efficacy of traditional (IL2, BCG, peptides, etc.) and newly developed immunotherapy (‘immune checkpoint’ blocking antibodies) depends on the expression levels of MHC-I on tumors cells [49]. ‘Soft’ MHC-I molecular lesions can be recovered by a variety of interventions that modify tumor microenvironment in such a way that Th1 type cytokines are released. ‘Hard’ MHC molecular lesions can only be corrected by transferring the appropriate wild type MHC-I or beta 2-microglobulin gene, or by the application of natural killer cells and TEIPP-specific T cells. Hence, identification of molecular aberrations responsible for altered tumor MHC-I expression, as well as monitoring the evolution of this expression during the course of treatment becomes essential for the success of T-cell mediated cancer immunotherapy and for the development of novel complementary approaches for MHC-I upregulation. We are undoubtedly oversimplifying the enormous complexity of the tumor microenvironment but future findings of key molecules and/or cells capable of overriding the immune escape routes used by tumor cells will certainly help in inducing durable tumor rejection. Among them, MHC re-expression is a major target for future studies.
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