


Ch	nemotherapy 3
	 Medical: The use of chemical agents in the treatment or control of disease (such as cancer) or mental illness
	Word originated around 1910 by Paul Ehrlich
	 Developed the first treatment for syphilis, antiserum for diphtheria (Nobel prize in 1908)
	In the world of pharmacology chemotherapy can be used to treat:


History of Chemotherapy Begins... 4

Nitrogen Mustards were taboo and not used in battle, however Ready to be used (feared Hitler would use when he was pushed) Bomb traid on Bari, Italy on December 2rd, 1943 Salors exposed had depletion of bone marrow stores and lymph nodes Goodman and Gilman at Yale discovered murine models with lymphomos responded to nitrogen mustard therapy Convinced a surgeon to treat a single NHL patient with a nitrogen mustard Original trial done in 1943, but data kept secret until 1946




Luria and Delbruck Go back to bacteria and how mutations arise... Took two groups of plates of bacteria and exposed them to a virus for infection If resistance to the virus were due to exposure, then there would be the same number of resistant colonies Turns out the number of resistant colonies varied significantly between plates Resistance is due random mutations Cancer cells are no different from this: Some are inherently resistant to a chemotherapy agent due to randomness alone











rc	actional Kill Hypothesis
	Also called "log-kill hypothesis"
	Assuming homogenous sensitivity to a drug, drugs will act on first order kinetics
	 They will eliminate a constant proportion of cells rather than a constant number
	Explains that multiple doses need to be given
	Partially explained by drugs only effecting specific steps in the cycle
	► Leukemic S-phase ~ 18 – 20 hours, cytarabine given Q12H
	Establishes the role of adjuvant therapy to treat micrometastatic disease or small volume disease
•	Higher doses may give a larger proportion of cells killed


Norton – Simon Hypothesis * "Chemotherapy results in a rate of regression in tumor volume that is proportional to the rate of growth for an unperturbed tumor of that size," * Log - kill does not work in all situations, especially solid tumors * Not all cells are rapidly dividing, high growth fraction In other words, tumors are heterogeneous in nature * Some are faster-growing, others are slower growing * Tumors are best eradicated by more frequent, lowest effective dose chemotherapy regimens

Dose Intensity vs Density In the best scenario: highest dose of chemotherapy with the shortest interval possible Dose intensity (escalation): variable dose over a fixed unit of time 9 90 mg/m² for 3 days vs 60 mg/m² for 3 days of etoposide Subject to side effects Analogous to concentration – dependent antibiotics Dose density: fixed dosed over a variable unit of time AC (DD) regimen given every 14 days vs 21 days Analogous to time – dependent antibiotics

Pharmacologic Properties of Drugs New York of so many promising drugs fail therapy once they go into humans? Novement from petit dishes to humans is a big jump Pharmacokinetics: Study of drug pathway through the body Novement from petit dishes to humans is a big jump Pharmacokinetics: Study of drug pathway through the body Novement from petit dishes to humans is a big jump Pharmacokinetics: Study of drug pathway through the body Novement from petit dishes to humans is a big jump Pharmacokinetics: Study of drug pathway through the body Novement from petit dishes to humans is a big jump Novement from petit dishe










Eastern Cooperative Oncology Group (ECOG) Performance Status Assuming an equivalent BSA and same type/slage of cancer, is a 30 year old athlete going to folerate the same therapy the same as a 70 year old chronic smoker an dialysis who cannot wark? ECOG Performance Status (FS) Standard to measure the impact of disease on daily living Used extensively in clinical trials Changes of PS are one reason why doses and therapies change Consider changes in PK/PD 70 year potient might start with lower dose or different therapy all together

Review of Principles 22
The tales of the 1940's taught that disruption of cell cycle can effect cancer growth
 Combination of agents may help prevent resistance and improve outcomes
➤ Cancer cell growth is not constant
 Chemotherapy can be given at different doses, frequencies and in combination with other modalities
► How the drug reacts in Petri dish is different from in the body
▶ Chemotherapy is toxic
Chemotherapy is tailored to the patient and the cancer






















Sone Marrow Suppression (Mostly dose-limiting): affects dose density Close affect but to varying degrees Can lower platelets (thrombocytopenia), white blood cells (neutropenia) and red blood cells (enemia) Can be used advantageously for bone marrow transplants Acute myelosuppression: nadir of 7-10 days and recovery in 14-21 days Cyclophosphamide, itasfamide Delayed myelosuppression: nadir in 4 – 6 weeks with gradual recovery Carmustine Why the concern: Not thrombocytopenia: bleeding complications Neutropenia: Opportunistic infections		icities of Chemotherapy
➤ Can lower platelets (thrombocytopenia), white blood cells (neutropenia) and red blood cells (anemia) ➤ Can be used advantageously for bone marrow transplants ➤ Acute myelosuppression: nadir of 7-10 days and recovery in 14-21 days ➤ Cyclophosphamide, itoslamide ➤ Delayed myelosuppression: nadir in 4 – 6 weeks with gradual recovery ➤ Camustine ➤ Why the concern: ➤ Thrombocytopenia: bleeding complications	Bor	ne Marrow Suppression (Mostly dose-limiting): affects dose density
red blood cells (naemia) Can be used advantageously for bone marrow transplants Acute myelosuppression; nadir of 7-10 days and recovery in 14-21 days Cyclophosphamide, ifosfamide Delayed myelosuppression; nadir in 4 – 6 weeks with gradual recovery Carmustine Why the concern: Transpoort penia: bleeding complications		Class effect but to varying degrees
Acute myelosuppression: nadir of 7-10 days and recovery in 14-21 days Cyclophosphamide, itosfamide Delayed myelosuppression: nadir in 4 − 6 weeks with gradual recovery Camusline Why the concern: Itrombocytopenia: bleeding complications		
Cyclophosphamide, ifosfamide Delayed myelosuppression; nadir in 4 – 6 weeks with gradual recovery Carmustine Why the concern: Trombocytopenia: bleeding complications		
 Delayed myelosuppression: nadir in 4 – 6 weeks with gradual recovery Carmustine Why the concern: Trombocytopenia: bleeding complications 		Acute myelosuppression: nadir of 7-10 days and recovery in 14-21 days
Carmusline Why the concern: Trambocytopenia: bleeding complications		
Why the concern: Trombocytopenia: bleeding complications		Delayed myelosuppression: nadir in 4 – 6 weeks with gradual recovery
		Why the concern:
▶ Neutropenia: Opportunistic infections		
		▶ Neutropenia: Opportunistic infections






























































As time goes by... Iradiflonal agents = indiscriminate killer of cells How do we know good cells from bad cells? Assumes that ALL rapidly dividing cells are bad Assumes that ALL slow dividing cells are good Drug development has had some serendipilatus discoveries: 1980s: Alt-transfelinate acid (AIRA) in APL Targets immature leukemic cells and cause stifferentiation to face differentiation 1980s: Tamoxifier in harmone positive breast cancer Targets cells that over-express RIPR Therapy is moving towards more targeted approaches Moving from carpet-bombing to tactical bombing


Targeted Therapy	64
➤ More appropriately termed, molecularly targeted therapies	
➤ Target specific genes, proteins or tissue environments	
► Specific to subtypes of cancer	
 Requires an understanding of cancer pathophysiology 	
 Requires genotyping and effecting signal pathways 	
▶ What makes a good target?	
➤ Targets only present on/in cancer cells	
➤ Targets more commonly found on/in cancer cells	
 Or target both cancer and normal, but normal cells that regenerate 	
























Initial selection of TKI therapy Potency differences do not change overall survival Choose ONE: imatinib, dasatinib, nilotinib, considering: Toxicities Age or ability to tolerate therapy Comorbid conditions Risks 2nd generation have faster time to response but long-term survival is not established yet Progression or lack of effect, move to one of the other agents (except imatinib) or: Bosutinib


Bosutinib	76
 2nd Generation TKI, 200 times more potent than imatinib and has dasatinib and nilotinib resistance 	s activity in imatinib,
MOA: Inhibition of BCR-ABL and SRC, Lyn and Hck kinases	
Dose: 500 mg PO daily	
Pearls:	
▶ Failed to beat imatinib in head to head first line, therefore reserved for	or treatment failure
Toxicities unique to bosutinib:	
► Hepatic toxicity	
▶ Diarrhea	

















User Toxicity/Survivorship Pharmocologically active agents that don't discern cancer cells from healthy cells Studies show that these agents increase the risk of cancer in healthcare workers Also effect outcomes of pregnancies: Both men and women should heed caulton while conceiving, pregnant or nursing In clinical practice, agents are prepared and handled in Biological Safety Class II Cabinets Users wear gowns, gloves and in some cases respirators Please refer to OSH and NIOSH standards on safe handling practices Protect yourself and learn the rules! Cloves should be ASTM-tested against chemotherapy

One Last Toxicity	
 Large percentage of drug development is in oncology (~60%) Financial Toxicity is a big ward these days Financial harm on patients caused by accepting costly therapies Consider the survival benefit/ cost relationship 	
 Many agents cost several thousand for each cycle to add only a few months of overall survival benefit (at best) 	
 Consider imatinib cost \$5,000 a month over 10 years ago Now it costs \$10,000 for the same drug and dosage form Immunotherapies costing > \$100,000 a year Anti-nausea medications cost = \$1,000 a cycle 	
 These costs are unsustainable for patients, hospitals and insurance companies 	





References	90	
 Chabner BA, General Principles of Cancer Chemotherapy, Goodman & Gilman's: The Pharmacological Basis of Therapeutics, 12e. New York: McGraw-Hill 2011. 		
 DeVita Jr et al. A History of Cancer Chemotherapy, Cancer Research 2008, 68. 8643. 		
 DiPiro JT. Introduction to Pharmacokinetics & Pharmacodynamics, Concepts in Clinical Pharmacokinetics, 5e. Bethesda 2010. 		
Mukherjee S. The Emperor of all Maladies: A biography of cancer. New York: Scribner 2010.		